

From: [diane.green](#)
To: [SizewellC](#)
Subject: Sizewell C- should it go ahead? My objections to the scheme
Date: 12 October 2021 12:38:46

To the planning officers:

My opinion is that Sizewell C is an expensive white elephant and for many reasons I think that it shouldn't go ahead. A decision to halt it would be the prudent decision given climate change and especially at the time of COP26.

1. The damage to the beach and to surrounding nature reserves in the Suffolk Coast and Heaths Area of Outstanding natural beauty is adequate reason. Plus the consideration of Minsmere and SSI's that will be built on
2. This is a fragile and eroding coast with predicted sea level rises making it both dangerous and very expensive to build needed protections
3. Tourism is the main industry locally and will develop, especially given the rise in UK and eco holidays. Sizewell C will destroy this industry especially during construction, and due to many, many people being anti-nuclear. Jobs will be lost. The local area changed so much.
4. The infrastructure cannot support this development: roads, rail, water availability (we are in the driest area of the UK with climate change predicting increased water shortages, and the project require vast and continuous water supplies) The A12 would become jammed- 12,000 extra vehicles a day with areas of it that already close due to flooding.
5. Influx of almost 6000 workers! Plus their cars etc
6. All the arguments around nuclear not being Green: the storage of dangerous nuclear waste, the possibility of accident, pollution of construction, and the fact that the nuclear materials are mined elsewhere causing massive environmental damage, transported using carbon miles and with added danger of accident.
7. The cost and the reack record of EPR's: all other EPRs are massively over budget, and often running 10years late. The only operating one in China has had to close. It would take 10 years at least to get to operation, at a cost of over £20billion and this could rise. It would then take at least 6 years to pay back the carbon.
8. Best to invest the money in proven renewables

Diane Green

